	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
15	One Bowling Green
16	New York, New York
17	
18	October 5, 2011
19	10:06 AM
20	
21	
22	BEFORE:
23	HON. JAMES M. PECK
2 4	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
25	

Page 2 1 2 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Ninth Omnibus Objection 3 to Claims (No liability Derivatives Claims) [ECF No. 19378] 4 5 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Eightieth Omnibus Objection to 6 Claims (Invalid Blocking Number LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19396] 7 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-First Omnibus Objection 8 9 to Claims (Duplicative LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19397] 10 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection 11 12 to Claims (Misclassified Claims) [ECF No. 16867] 13 14 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Third Omnibus Objection 15 to Claims (No Liability Employee Claims) [ECF No. 19399] 16 17 HEARING re Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Fourth Omnibus 18 Objection to Claims (To Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity 19 Interests) [ECF No. 19390] 20 21 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Fifth Omnibus 22 Objection to Claims (No Liability Pension Claims) [ECF No. 23 19391] 24 25

Page 3 1 2 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Sixth Omnibus 3 Objection to Claims (To Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity 4 Interests) [ECF No. 19392] 5 6 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Seventh Omnibus 7 Objection to Claims (No Liability Non-Debtor Employee Claims) [ECF No. 19393] 8 9 10 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Eighth Omnibus 11 Objection to Claims (Misclassified Claims) [ECF No. 19377] 12 13 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-Third Omnibus 14 Objection to Claims (No Liability CMBS Claims) [ECF No. 18407] 15 16 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Seventh Omnibus 17 Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 12533] 18 19 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Seventh Omnibus Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 16860] 20 21 22 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection 23 to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 17468] 24 25

	Page 4
1	
2	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-Second Omnibus
3	Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 19398]
4	
5	HEARING re: Debtors' Eighteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims
6	(Amended and Superseded Claims and Duplicative Claims) [ECF No.
7	9656]
8	
9	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixtieth Omnibus Objection to
10	Claims (Settled Derivatives Claims) [ECF No. 18444]
11	
12	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Fifth Omnibus Objection
13	to Claims (Inconsistent Debtor Claims) [ECF No. 19381]
14	
15	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Sixth Omnibus Objection
16	to Claims (No Supporting Documentation Claims) [ECF No. 19383]
17	
18	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Seventh Omnibus
19	Objection to Claims (Settled Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 19384]
20	
21	HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Eighth Omnibus Objection
22	to Claims (Settled Derivative Claims) [ECF No. 19386]
23	
24	
25	

Page 5 1 2 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Ninth Omnibus Objection 3 to Claims (To Reclassify Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests) 4 [ECF No. 19387] 5 6 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Blocking Number LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19388] 7 8 9 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-First Omnibus 10 Objection to Claims (Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims) 11 [ECF No. 19400] 12 13 HEARING re: Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Second Omnibus 14 Objection to Claims (Amended and Superseded Claims) [ECF No. 15 19401] 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed By: Aliza Chodoff

	Page 6
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
4	Attorneys for Debtors
5	767 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, NY 10153
7	
8	BY: MARK BERSTEIN, ESQ.
9	NADYA SALCEDO, ESQ.
10	
11	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
12	Attorneys for Debtors
13	700 Louisiana
14	Suite 1600
15	Houston, TX 77002
16	
17	BY: ERIN D. ECKOLS, ESQ.
18	
19	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP
20	Attorneys for Official Committee
21	One Chase Manhattan Plaza
22	New York, NY 10005
23	
24	BY: DENNIS C. O'DONNELL, ESQ.
25	MATTHEW BROD, ESQ.

	Page 7
1	
2	STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT
3	Attorneys for Perry Capital
4	1901 Avenue of the Starts
5	Los Angeles, CA 90067
6	
7	BY: MARINA FINEMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
8	MICHAEL NEUMEISTER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	
10	ALSO:
11	ANTOLY BUSHLER, FARALLON CAPITAL MANAGEMENT
12	(TELEPONICALLY)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Filed 10/21/11 Entered 10/25/11 16:06:11 Main Document LEHMAN BROLLERS HOLDINGS INC., et al. Page 8 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark 4 Bernstein from Weil Gotshal on behalf of Lehman Brothers 5 Holdings, Inc. and its affiliated Chapter 11 debtors. 6 We're here this morning for a claims hearing, and we 7 have before you an entirely uncontested agenda this morning. 8 THE COURT: Fine. 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Unless Your Honor has any questions, 10 we can just take the agenda in order and just jump right in. THE COURT: Just as a preliminary administrative 11 12 matter, in the future, just for purposes of our preparing for 13 the claims agenda, as it comes up on a periodic basis, chambers 14 would greatly appreciate more timely notice from Weil Gotshal 15 regarding the status of these matters, and the updated agenda 16 didn't arrive yesterday until after two o'clock in the 17 afternoon. And in the future, we would like more timely notice 18 as to what's going on. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Happy to provide that. 20 THE COURT: And I would suggest that as a model, you 21 follow the very effective job that is done in managing the 22 omnibus docket. We would expect at least that from the claims 23 docket. 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: We will do so going forward.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

Thank you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The first item on the agenda is the 179th omnibus objection to claims. This objection seeks to disallow claims filed based on derivative contracts. debtors have reviewed these derivative contracts, and determined that the amounts -- that no amounts are owed by the debtors on these contracts, or in fact, amounts may be owed to the debtors. Any responses that the debtors have been received, have been adjourned, and this is going forward on an uncontested basis, and we respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred ninety-seventh omnibus objection. THE COURT: That objection is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

The next item is the one hundred eighteenth (sic) omnibus objection. This is a carryover item from a prior There are two -- for two claims, the objection deadline was extended. Those parties have not responded and this is going forward on an uncontested basis to those claims as well.

THE COURT: The one hundred eightieth --

MR. BERNSTEIN: We respectfully request Your Honor grant that.

THE COURT: The one hundred eightieth omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The next item is the one hundred eighty-first omnibus This relates to claims filed based on Lehman objection. program securities that are duplicative of other claims on the docket, either because both the beneficial holder and their bank or broker filed the claim, or because the beneficial holder filed two claims.

As these claims are duplicative, only one claim is entitled to recovery. This item is also going forward on an uncontested basis today. Any responses received have been adjourned, and we respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred eighty-first omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred eighty-first omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

> MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

The next item is the one hundred thirty-sixth omnibus objection. This is also a carryover item. This relates to two claims, for which the debtors have worked on some slightly revised language to the prior order, with two claimants, subject to the inclusion of that language in the order, those claimants do no longer contest this objection, and are willing to allow this to go forward on an uncontested basis.

The language just makes clear that the objection has no effect on the validity of these claims, other than whether or not -- other than their classification. I have a blackline if Your Honor would like to see the order. I can hand it up to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 11 1 you. 2 THE CORUT: I'll take a look at that. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. BERNSTEIN: The blackline also reflects that the 5 6 debtors are withdrawing the objection, with respect to two 7 claims as well. THE COURT: The order references Exhibit A and --8 9 Exhibit A to the one hundred thirty-sixth omnibus objection and 10 Exhibit 1 annexed to the order, but I don't see Exhibit 1 annexed to the order. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Give me one second. I have them 13 attached to a clean copy. 14 THE COURT: Okay. It's granted in accordance with the 15 order that you've presented to me. 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. 17 The next item is the one hundred seventy-third omnibus 18 This relates to claims that were filed by former objection. 19 employees of the Lehman enterprise based on deferred 20 compensation plans. 21 The debtors have reviewed these deferred compensation 22 plans, and determined that there is no liability for LBHI or 23 any of the other debtors in these cases, but rather their 24 obligations of LBI or some other non-debtors, which makes sense 25 in this case, being that most of the employees were actually

employees of LBI, and not of any of the debtors.

The debtors did receive certain responses. We've adjourned those to another day, and we're going forward on an uncontested basis today, and respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred and seventy-third omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-third omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next item is the one hundred seventy-fourth omnibus objection. This objection seeks to reclassify as equity certain claims that were filed based on holdings of LBHI common stock, preferred stock, or the reduction value of such stock by such holders.

These claims are properly classified equity and not claims, and therefore, the debtors are seeking to reclassify them pursuant to this objection. We did receive one response, we have adjourned that to another day, but we are going forward on an uncontested for all other claims on this objection, and respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred seventy-fourth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-fourth omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next item is the one hundred seventy-fifth omnibus objection. This relates to claims filed by former employees

based on their rights to receive certain payments under pension plans that Lehman had sponsored prior to the commencement of these cases.

Pursuant to a settlement between Lehman, LBHI, and the PGBC approved by this Court earlier in this case, the pension plan was terminated, and the PGBC assumes full responsibility for payments thereunder. And LBHI was only liable to the PGBC pursuant to that settlement agreement.

There was one response that has been adjourned, but otherwise, this is going forward on an uncontested basis.

These parties are not entitled at this point pursuant to that agreement to recover from LBHI. Therefore, we request Your Honor request the one hundred and seventy-fifth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred and seventy-fifth omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next item is the one hundred seventy-sixth omnibus objection. This relates to claims filed by former employees based on restricted stock units, or similar equity awards that they received from Lehman.

These equity awards entitle these holders to exchange these units for common stock in LBHI at some point in the future, and therefore, properly classified as equity claims or equity interest in LBHI.

Your Honor, any responses we received have been adjourned, and we're going forward on an uncontested basis, and we respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred seventy-sixth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-sixth omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The one hundred seventy-seventh omnibus objection relates to various types of compensation related claims filed by former employees of a Lehman enterprise, including claims based on commissions, wages, bonuses, unpaid vacation days, and similar claims.

For this, the debtors have reviewed their records and determined that these employees were not employees of any of the debtors, and they don't have any records of these parties having any claim against LBHI, or LBHI being liable for these payments.

We've just received one response, and we've adjourned that, and we're going forward on an uncontested basis with respect to all other, and respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred seventy-seventh omnibus objection to claims.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-seventh omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

> MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next item is the one hundred seventy-eighth

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

omnibus objection. These relate to claims that were filed for the reduction in value of the 401K accounts of various former Lehman employees, which include stocks and bonds that are not issued by these debtors, or not related to these cases, and the debtors are not liable for any diminution in value of those accounts.

As a result, the debtors have objected to these claims, seeking to disallow them, and respectfully request Your Honor grant the one hundred seventy-eighth omnibus objection to claims.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-eighth omnibus objection to claims is granted on an uncontested basis.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The one hundred eighty-third omnibus objection to claims relates to claims filed by holders of commercial mortgage-backed securities. The issuers of these securities are special purpose vehicles formed to hold commercial mortgage loans and issue these securities.

The debtors did not guarantee the payments on these bonds, and are not liable for the obligations on these bonds.

As a result, the debtors have filed its objection and seek to disallow these claims, and respectfully requests Your Honor grant the one hundred eighty-third omnibus objection to claims.

THE COURT: The one hundred eighty-third omnibus objection to claims is granted, with the exception of those

claims listed on Exhibit 13.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

At this point, I'll turn the podium over to my colleague, Nadya Salcedo to handle the next portion of the agenda.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SALCEDO: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is

Nadya Salcedo here on behalf of the debtors. I will be

addressing uncontested agenda items 12 through 15. Each of

these omnibus objection items seek to reduce an allowed value

derivative claims on a nonconsensual basis. They are all going

forward today uncontested.

Going to agenda item number 12, the sixty-seventh omnibus objection, this objection was filed in November of last year, objecting to 128 claims. Debtors have resolved more than half of these claims, and continue efforts to settle the rest.

Debtors have successfully settled six additional claims from the sixty-seventh omnibus objection filed by the counter parties, Owl Creek Overseas Fund, Owl Creek I and Owl Creek II. Counsel for the Owl Creek entities has agreed that debtors should proceed with these settled claims on an uncontested basis at today's hearings.

We, therefore, respectfully request that Your Honor grant the proposed seventh supplemental order reducing and allowing the Owl Creek entities' claims to the modified

settlement amounts as set out in the proposed order.

THE COURT: As described, the settlements with Owl Creek will be entered in accordance with the seventh order.

MS. SALCEDO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, regarding the agenda item number 13, the estate recently settled four claims on the one hundred thirty-seventh omnibus objection with the counter parties, Stonehill Institutional Partners and Stonehill Offshore Partners Limited.

The parties agreed that these matters would go forward at today's hearing on an uncontested basis, and that these four claims would be reduced and allowed to the settled amounts.

Unfortunately, the parties were unable to finalize the termination agreement before this morning's hearing.

Therefore, the parties have agreed to further adjourn these claims to the next claims hearing on October 27th, 2011.

There are no more claims going forward on the one hundred thirty-seventh omnibus objection today, and as such the debtors respectfully request this agenda item be adjourned.

THE COURT: It will be adjourned.

MS. SALCEDO: Thank you, Your Honor.

With respect to agenda item 14, the one hundred fiftyfifth omnibus objection, debtors have settled two additional
claims pertaining to Eneco Energy Trade BV. Counsel for the
counter party has agreed the debtors should proceed today on an
uncontested basis.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We, therefore, respectfully request that Your Honor grant the proposed second supplemental order, reducing and allowing Eneco Energy's claim numbers 10 -- 109279 and 19280 to their modified settled amount as set out in the proposed order.

THE COURT: A second supplemental order will be entered with respect to the Eneco Energy Trade claim.

MS. SALCEDO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Turning now to the one hundred eighty-second omnibus objection, the debtors are seeking to reduce, reclassify in some instances and allow fourteen claims relating to nine counter parties, all of which did not file a response to the one hundred eighty-second omnibus objection.

There are eleven remaining claims named in the one hundred second omnibus -- one hundred eighty-second, sorry, omnibus objection which belonged to nine counter parties.

These counter parties either filed timely responses or were granted extensions to the response deadline by the debtors. Settlement discussions have begun with a number of these counter parties.

Debtors respectfully request that this Court adjourn the hearing as to these eleven counter parties to October 27th, 2011, so that debtors may try to resolve these claims with the counter parties. We have a proposed order for both the reductions and the adjournments for Your Honor and respectfully request that Your Honor grant the debtors' one hundred eighty-

Page 19 second omnibus objection, reducing, reclassifying in some instances, and allowing the fourteen claims for which debtors received no response, and adjourning the balance of the claims. THE COURT: The one hundred eighty-second omnibus objection to claims will be treated in the manner in which you've just described. MS. SALCEDO: Thank you, Your Honor. If there are no questions, I will turn the podium to my colleague, Erin Eckols. THE COURT: Fine. MS. ECKOLS: Good morning, Your Honor, Erin Eckols here on behalf of the debtors. I will be covering agenda items 16 through 25. Taking up agenda item 16 first, this is a carryover item from the eighteenth omnibus objection, that sought to disallow and expunge individual noteholder claims as being duplicative of claims filed by Wilmington Trust or Bank of New York Melon as indenture trustee. Today we are proceeding as to two claims, claim 1481 filed by Howard Terry and claim 1482 filed by the Terry Foundation. I'll refer to these two claims collectively as the Terry claims and these claimants, the Terry claimants. The Terry claimants filed a response that has been

resolved via stipulation, and Your Honor, if I may approach, I

have a copy of that stipulation for your review.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 20 THE COURT: Yes, you may approach. 1 2 MS. ECKOLS: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 There was no dispute between the parties, 5 that the Terry claims and the Wilmington Trust claim 10082 were 6 seeking to recover on the same notes. The Terry claimants' concern was that the Terry claims would be disallowed and 7 8 expunged, and subsequently that the Wilmington Trust claim 9 would be disallowed and expunged, and then the Terry claimants 10 would be left with no claim. 11 The stipulation addresses this concern by providing 12 that if the Wilmington Trust claim is disallowed and expunged 13 without the consent of the indenture trustee then the Terry 14 claims will be reinstated. 15 Your Honor, having resolved the Terry claimants' 16 response to the eighteenth omnibus objection, the debtors 17 respectfully request that the Court approve the parties' 18 stipulation. 19 THE COURT: I will approve the parties' stipulation. 20 MS. ECKOLS: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 Moving to agenda item 17, this is the debtors' one 22 hundred sixtieth omnibus objection. Your Honor, this is a 23 carryover item from the one hundred sixtieth omni that was 24 heard at the August 25th claims' hearing. 25 The one hundred sixtieth omni seeks to reduce and

allow certain derivative claims that have been settled between the debtors and the relevant counter party. Today we are proceeding as to claim 17563 submitted by Caja de Ahorros y Pensiones of Barcelona and are seeking to reduce it to the dollar value agreed upon by the parties.

The debtors confirm that Caja de Ahorros y Pensiones of Barcelona does not oppose the requested relief, and accordingly the debtors respectfully request that the Court grant the one hundred sixtieth omnibus objection as to claim 17563.

THE COURT: It's objected (sic) as to claim 17563, and I will not attempt to pronounce the name of the claimant.

MS. ECKOLS: I'm pretty sure I mangled it a little bit.

THE COURT: I think you mangled it, yes, you did.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 18, that is the debtors' one hundred sixty-fifth omnibus objection. This seeks to modify claims to clarify that Lehman Brothers Holding, Inc. is the debtor against which the claims are being asserted.

The claims subject to the one hundred sixty-fifth omnifailed to appropriately identify the debtor entity against which the claim is being asserted. For example, some of the claims were submitted with debtor names and case numbers that do not match. Others appear to be guarantee claims against LBHI for alleged obligations of a non-debtor entity, but the

claimant wrote in the name of the non-debtor entity on the claim form.

This objection merely seeks to remedy these inconsistencies and have the claims docketed as asserted against LBHI, which appears to be the debtor that the claimant intended to file against.

The debtors did not receive any responses to the one hundred sixty-fifth omnibus objection, and respectfully request that the Court grant said objection.

THE COURT: That objection is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Moving to agenda item 19, the debtors' one hundred sixty-sixth omnibus objection to claims, this omni seeks to disallow and expunge claims that violate this Court's bar date order, as they were submitted without the required supporting documentation.

The debtors did not receive any responses, and respectfully request that the Court grant the one hundred sixty-sixth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred sixty-sixth omnibus objection is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 20, the debtors' one hundred sixty-seventh omnibus objection, it seeks the modification of allowance of claims for which the parties have reached an agreement with respect to the claim amount

classification and/or debtor entity that is not reflected on the claimant's proof of claim.

The omnibus objection is seeking to modify those claims to conform to the parties' agreement. The debtors are proceeding on an uncontested basis as to all claims on the objection, and respectfully request that the Court grant the one hundred sixty-seventh omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred sixty-seventh omnibus objection to claims is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 21, this is the debtors' one hundred sixty-eighth omnibus objection to claims. It seeks the disallowance and expungement of derivative claims that have been settled between the parties, such that the debtors have no liability for those claims.

The omnibus objection is seeking to expunge those derivative claims to effectuate the parties' agreement. The debtors did not receive any responses to the objection, and are moving on an uncontested basis as to all the claims on said objection.

Accordingly, the debtors respectfully request that the Court grant the debtors' one hundred sixty-eighth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred sixty-eighth omnibus objection to claims is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 22, which is the

debtors' one hundred sixty-ninth omnibus objection to claims, it seeks to reclassify its equity interest proofs of claim that are based on the ownership of stock and the debtors, and/or the loss of value of that stock. A stock is an equity security under the Bankruptcy Code, the holders of the stock claims are equity security holders with interest, but not claims against the debtors.

Counsel for two of the claimants on this objection reached out to the debtors, and requested a change to the language of the proposed order. And, Your Honor, if I may approach, I have a blackline copy showing the revised language.

THE COURT: Yes, you may approach. Thank you.

MS. ECKOLS: And, Your Honor, that blackline also references the addition of an Exhibit 2, and if you would like a clean copy with the exhibit, I can --

THE COURT: Please.

MS. ECKOLS: Okay. Thank you. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. ECKOLS: Counsel's clients hold both preferred and common stock in the debtors, and accordingly counsel requested that the order specify that holders of preferred stock maintain their respective priority over the holders of common stock.

After negotiation, the parties agreed upon the language reflected in the blackline to clarify that the order did not affect the relative priority of preferred stockholders,

as to common stockholders.

reference to what I just said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to the requested language change, the debtors did receive a request for an adjournment as to one claim, which the debtors agreed to.

With the exception of the adjourned claim, the debtors are proceeding uncontested today as to all other claims on the objection, and respectfully request that the Court grant the one hundred sixty-ninth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred sixty-ninth omnibus objection to claims is granted, with the observation that based upon knowledge of my case, preserving the priority within the equity of Lehman is a pointless exercise. But I recognize that lawyers will be lawyers.

MS. ECKOLS: Thank you, Your Honor. Moving to -THE COURT: There's absolutely no need to comment with

MS. ECKOLS: I was just going to move along, sir.

THE COURT: Fine, moving along is probably a good idea.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 23, the debtors' one hundred seventieth omnibus objection to claim. It seeks to disallow and expunge claims for Lehman program securities that did not include the required blocking number.

As Your Honor is aware, the bar date order required claimants seeking to recover from Lehman program securities, to

obtain a block number from the applicable clearing agency, Euroclear or Clear Stream (ph).

The holders of the one hundred seventieth omnibus objection have not provided the required blocking number. The debtors have withdrawn the objection as to two claims, and are proceeding uncontested as to the balance of the claims on the objection.

Accordingly, the debtors respectfully request that the Court grant the one hundred seventieth omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventieth omnibus objection to claims is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Taking up agenda item 24, this is the debtors' one hundred seventy-first omnibus objection, it seeks to disallow and expunge individual noteholder claims that are duplicative of the claims filed by Wilmington Trust, Bank of New York Melon, and/or U.S. Bank National Association as indenture trustees for certain notes and debentures.

The debtors did not receive any responses to the objection and respectfully request that the Court grant the debtors' one hundred seventy-first omnibus objection.

THE COURT: The one hundred seventy-first omnibus objection to claims is granted.

MS. ECKOLS: Moving to agenda item 25, which is the debtors' one hundred seventy-second omnibus objection, it seeks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 27 1 to disallow and expunge claims that were omitted and superseded 2 by subsequently filed claims. The debtors have withdrawn the 3 objection as to one claim, and are proceeding today as to all 4 other claims on the objection. Accordingly, the debtors respectfully request that the 5 6 Court grant the debtors' one hundred seventy-second omnibus 7 objection. 8 THE COURT: The debtors' one hundred seventy-second 9 omnibus objection to claims is granted. 10 MS. ECKOLS: Thank you, Your Honor, and that concludes 11 today's agenda. 12 THE COURT: Very well, we're adjourned then. 13 you. 14 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:30 AM) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Py 20 01 34		
	P	Page 28
INDEX		
RULINGS		
DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Ninth	9	11
Omnibus Objection to Claims (No liability		
Derivatives Claims) [ECF No. 19378] - GRANTED		
Debtors' One Hundred Eightieth Omnibus	9	23
Objection to Claims (Invalid Blocking		
Number LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19396]		
- GRANTED		
Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-First Omnibus	10	12
Objection to Claims (Duplicative LPS Claims)		
[ECF No. 19397] - GRANTED		
Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus	11	14
Objection to Claims (Misclassified Claims)		
[ECF No. 16867] - GRANTED		
	DESCRIPTION Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Ninth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No liability Derivatives Claims) [ECF No. 19378] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Eightieth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Invalid Blocking Number LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19396] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-First Omnibus Objection to Claims (Duplicative LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19397] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Misclassified Claims)	RULINGS DESCRIPTION PAGE Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Ninth 9 Omnibus Objection to Claims (No liability Derivatives Claims) [ECF No. 19378] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Eightieth Omnibus 9 Objection to Claims (Invalid Blocking Number LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19396] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-First Omnibus 10 Objection to Claims (Duplicative LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19397] - GRANTED Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus 11 Objection to Claims (Misclassified Claims)

1	1 g 23 01 34		1		
	Page 29				
1	INDEX				
2					
3	RULINGS				
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE		
5					
6	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Third Omnibus	12	6		
7	Objection to Claims (No Liability Employee				
8	Claims) [ECF No. 19399] - GRANTED				
9					
10	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Fourth Omnibus	12	21		
11	Objection to Claims (To Reclassify Proofs				
12	of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 19390]				
13	- GRANTED				
14					
15	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Fifth Omnibus	13	15		
16	Objection to Claims (No Liability Pension				
17	Claims) [ECF No. 19391] - GRANTED				
18					
19	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Sixth Omnibus	14	5		
20	Objection to Claims (To Reclassify Proofs				
21	of Claim as Equity Interests) [ECF No. 19392]				
22	- GRANTED				
23					
24					
25					

i	Py 30 01 34				
	Page 30				
1	INDEX				
2					
3	RULINGS				
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE		
5					
6	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Seventh Omnibus	14	22		
7	Objection to Claims (No Liability Non-Debtor				
8	Employee Claims) [ECF No. 19393] - GRANTED				
9					
10	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Eighth Omnibus	15	11		
11	Objection to Claims (Misclassified Claims)				
12	[ECF No. 19377] - GRANTED				
13					
14	Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-Third Omnibus	15	24		
15	Objection to Claims (No Liability CMBS Claims)				
16	[ECF No. 18407] - GRANTED WITH EXCEPTION				
17					
18	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Seventh Omnibus	17	2		
19	Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims)				
20	[ECF No. 12533] - ALLOWED				
21					
22	Debtors' One Hundred Thirty-Seventh Omnibus	17	19		
23	Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative Claims)				
24	[ECF No. 16860] - ADJOURNED				
25					

	Py 31 01 34		
	Page 31		
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
5			
6	Debtors' One Hundred Fifty-Fifth Omnibus	18	6
7	Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative		
8	Claims) [ECF No. 17468] - ALLOWED		
9			
10	Debtors' One Hundred Eighty-Second Omnibus	19	4
11	Objection to Claims (Valued Derivative		
12	Claims) [ECF No. 19398] - ALLOWED		
13			
14	Debtors' Eighteenth Omnibus Objection	20	19
15	to Claims (Amended and Superseded		
16	Claims and Duplicative Claims)		
17	[ECF No. 9656] - APPROVED		
18			
19	Debtors' One Hundred Sixtieth Omnibus	21	11
20	Objection to Claims (Settled Derivatives		
21	Claims) [ECF No. 18444] - GRANTED		
22			
23	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Fifth Omnibus	22	10
24	Objection to Claims (Inconsistent Debtor		
25	Claims) [ECF No. 19381] - GRANTED		

	Page 32	
1	INDEX	
2		
3	RULINGS	
4	DESCRIPTION PAGE LINE	
5		
6	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Sixth Omnibus 22 20	
7	Objection to Claims (No Supporting	
8	Documentation Claims) [ECF No. 19383]	
9	- GRANTED	
10		
11	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Seventh Omnibus 23 8	
12	Objection to Claims (Settled Derivative Claims)	
13	[ECF No. 19384] - GRANTED	
14		
15	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Eighth Omnibus 23 23	
16	Objection to Claims (Settled Derivative Claims)	
17	[ECF No. 19386] - GRANTED	
18		
19	Debtors' One Hundred Sixty-Ninth Omnibus 25 9	
20	Objection to Claims (To Reclassify	
21	Proofs of Claim as Equity Interests)	
22	[ECF No. 19387] - GRANTED	
23		
24		
25		

	Py 33 01 34		
	Page 33		
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
5			
6	Debtors' One Hundred Seventieth Omnibus	26	10
7	Objection to Claims (No Blocking Number		
8	LPS Claims) [ECF No. 19388] - GRANTED		
9			
10	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-First Omnibus	26	22
11	Objection to Claims (Duplicative of		
12	Indenture Trustee Claims) [ECF No. 19400]		
13	- GRANTED		
14			
15	Debtors' One Hundred Seventy-Second	27	8
16	Omnibus Objection to Claims		
17	(Amended and Superseded Claims)		
18	[ECF No. 19401] - GRANTED		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 34 1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 4 I, Aliza Chodoff, certify that the foregoing transcript is a 5 true and accurate record of the proceedings. Aliza Chodoff DN: cn=Aliza Chodoff, o=Veritext, c=US 6 Date: 2011.10.21 15:24:46 -04'00' 7 8 ALIZA CHODOFF 9 10 Veritext 11 200 Old Country Road 12 Suite 580 13 Mineola, NY 11501 14 15 Date: October 21, 2011 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25